FISCAL YEAR 2020
ANNUAL PERSONNEL REPORT


## ABOUT THIS REPORT

A.R.S.§41-751 (D) requires the board to submit an annual report on its personnel that includes:

1. Information concerning the number of employees affected by and reasons for turnover of their employees.
2. Information concerning the compensation during the preceding year and the coming year of their employees and the compensation of other public employees and private employees.
3. An advisory recommendation on the salary plan and adjustments for their employees. In establishing the salary plan, they shall consider the relative levels of duties and responsibilities of the various classes of positions, rates paid for comparable positions elsewhere and other relevant factors.
4. The overtime pay for their employees.

## ABOUT THE ARIZONA BOARD OF REGENTS

The Arizona Board of Regents is committed to ensuring access for qualified residents of Arizona to undergraduate and graduate institutions; promoting the discovery, application, and dissemination of new knowledge; extending the benefits of university activities to Arizona's citizens outside the university; and maximizing the benefits derived from the state's investment in education.
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## INTRODUCTION

Talented faculty and staff are necessary to achieve the goals presented in ABOR's strategic plan, Impact Arizona. Successful employee recruitment and retention is a core objective to meet the challenges of driving student educational success and learning, and to build on research activities to benefit Arizona. In order to attract and keep top talent, Arizona's public universities and system office must be proactive in offering competitive salaries.

Arizona's public universities compete with hundreds of other public and private universities throughout the country to attract and retain talented faculty and staff. In spite of quality of life arguments made for Arizona, higher remuneration is still a key reason an individual accepts other employment or stays with Arizona's universities. It is essential that the universities see people as assets and not just an expense, and therefore investments in people are a strategic priority for the universities. Salary investments based on performance for faculty and staff whose salaries lag the market help retain top performing talent. In addition to the need for salary adjustments to retain faculty and staff, the universities are committed to increasing the number of tenured and tenure track faculty.

- Arizona State University's Office of Human Resources provides guidance, recommendations and strategic solutions to the hiring and retention of university employees. Objectives include consideration of relevant markets, flexibility in pay administration, opportunities to reward performance, proficiency and outcomes, and support employees' desire for career growth. ASU maintains a compensation structure reflective of job market dynamics. Each job is assigned a market zone consistent with compensation principles and market relationship.
- Northern Arizona University recognizes that competitive compensation is the cornerstone of recruiting, retaining and motivating employees. The university's compensation philosophy is to pay all categories of employees at competitive levels established by external labor markets, considering both salary and benefits as a total compensation package. NAU's compensation programs are intended to meet the following objectives:
- Establish pay levels for positions on the basis of their external competitiveness with relevant labor markets and their relative internal value.
- Regularly reward employees on the basis of work performance.
- Administer pay equitably and consistently.
- Establish compensation policy that is consistent with the judicious expenditure of funds entrusted by the university.
- Maximize the effectiveness of compensation funding based on recruiting, retention and employee motivational outcomes.
- The University of Arizona established a compensation investment program that raises annual salaries for all benefits-eligible faculty, classified staff and appointed professionals; and elevates stipend levels for graduate assistants and associates. Through this program, the university demonstrates its commitment to increasing the competitiveness of compensation and stressing the importance of recognizing the contributions of those individuals. UArizona's compensation philosophy acknowledges that a key strategy in attracting, retaining and engaging remarkable talent is an innovative career and compensation architecture that provides market-informed compensation, clear career pathways and career progression opportunities. UArizona's University Career Architecture Project (UCAP), replaced the current classified staff and appointed professional categories of employment with market-informed job functions and families, and developed:
- A compensation philosophy and total rewards strategy to attract the best talent necessary to deliver on the mission of the university.
- A career architecture that makes it possible to benchmark compensation to the external market and assess internal compensation.
- An annual lifecycle model for strategic compensation planning and management.
- Market-based salary ranges for all positions.
- Modernized policies and procedures to support the new system.

Arizona's public universities have seen minimal state funding support since the Great Recession, and Arizona is one of seven states that remain more than 30 percent below prerecession education appropriations per FTE. In addition, Arizona is the only state where the majority of higher education funding did not come from state appropriations ${ }^{1}$. Consequently, the universities have reallocated funds and used other university-generated revenues to address salary deficiencies and to try to catch up and keep up with salary competitiveness.

[^0]
## EMPLOYEE POPULATION

The total number of benefits eligible employees is approximately 26,500 , increasing 13.4 percent between fiscal years 2016 and 2020. The increase in staff corresponds with student enrollment growth, which increased 13.4 percent during those years.

## ARIZONA UNIVERSITY SYSTEM EMPLOYEE POPULATION ${ }^{1}$


${ }^{1}$ Only Benefits-Eligible Included

ABOR EMPLOYEE BY TYPE


ASU EMPLOYEE BY TYPE

| 12,000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10,000 | 3,342 | 3,317 | 3,433 | 3,510 | 3,661 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8,000 |  |  | 6,982 | 7,317 | 7,691 |
| 6,000 | 6,463 | 6,570 |  |  |  |
| 4,000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2,000 | 161 | 173 | 179 | 194 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 194 |
| - | FY16 | FY17 | FY18 | FY19 | FY20 |
|  |  | $\square \mathrm{Cl}$ | Univ St | Faculty |  |

NAU EMPLOYEE BY TYPE

| 3,500 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3,000 | 1,073 | 1,102 | 1,163 | 1,187 | 1,166 |
| 2,500 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2,000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,500 | 1,961 | 1,987 | 2,024 | 2,030 | 2,019 |
| 1,000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 500 | 55 | 53 | 53 | 56 | 57 |
| - | FY16 | FY17 | FY18 | FY19 | FY20 |
|  |  | ■ Cla | rofess | Facu |  |

UARIZONA EMPLOYEE BY TYPE


## TURNOVER IMPACTS ARIZONA'S PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES

Turnover is an undeniable fact in any organization and Arizona's public universities are no exception. Turnover impacts the system not only when large numbers of employees leave, but also when the most talented and most experienced employees leave.

A major problem that results when hiring new employees (who often command more competitive rates), is properly addressing salary equity (both in terms of salary compression and salary inversion) in order to retain key faculty and staff.

## FACULTY TURNOVER COSTLY TO UNIVERSITIES

Faculty turnover is costly to the universities both in talent and in dollars. There are significant costs associated with recruitment and hiring. In addition to advertising expenses, there are direct costs of interviewing and bringing candidates to campus, and the indirect costs of faculty and staff members' time in the search process. In the past 12 months, 727 ( 9.6 percent) of faculty members left the Arizona university system.


There are many reasons for faculty turnover such as retirement, end of assignment, limited term appointments or resignation. Retirement is a major factor among full professors, but for other faculty resignations and leaving the institution for positions in other organizations is the primary factor, often receiving much higher salaries and benefits and greater resources for research and program development and limited term appointments for non-tenure track faculty that were not extended a new contract.

The effects of faculty turnover are often unpredictable and result in the loss of continuity in teaching and research programs, disruptions in graduate and undergraduate advising, and have a negative impact on departmental and institutional management and cohesiveness. Educators who are leaders in their fields contribute to the quality of the educational experience for the 196,000 students in the Arizona university system.

Moreover, in many research-intensive disciplines where the startup package for a new faculty member can often run into the hundreds of thousands of dollars, the lost investment made by the institution can be substantial and can include losses in external funding and grant competitiveness. Additional negative effects are harder to quantify, such as loss in program reputation and faculty morale.

The universities and the communities they serve suffer when faculty leave Arizona. Top scientists and researchers may take millions of dollars in grants and contracts with them when they depart, setting university progress back by years and diminishing the university's ability to attract additional research funding. When universities' research efforts are curtailed, it has a negative impact on the local, state and regional economies.

## ASU FACULTY SEPARATIONS AND TURNOVER RATE



NAU FACULTY SEPARATIONS AND TURNOVER RATE


UARIZONA FACULTY SEPARATIONS AND TURNOVER RATE


## STAFF TURNOVER

Each time a staff member leaves, the universities are faced with the advertising, interviewing and training costs associated with hiring a new employee. The cost of turnover is generally estimated at one to two times the salary of a departing employee. When a position is left unfilled, it puts additional stresses on existing employees, already asked to do more as a result of increased demands and fewer personnel resources, and often at lower than competitive salaries. This cycle can exacerbate turnover and the universities' ability to attract and retain high quality staff.

With low unemployment rates for most of fiscal year 2020, the task of replacing staff was more difficult and also added to the costs due to extended recruitment periods and extended advertising.

When staff turnover increases, losing the human resources that are essential to the operation and success of the institutions creates reductions in productivity, the potential for diminished services and stalls important institutional initiatives.


FY 2020 AVERAGE TURNOVER RATE FOR CLASSIFIED, PROFESSIONAL AND ADMIN STAFF

|  | CLASSIFIED | PROFESSIONAL/ <br> UNIVERSITYSTAFF* | ADMIN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASU | $12.5 \%$ | $15.7 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ |
| NAU | $20.1 \%$ | $15.1 \%$ | $17.6 \%$ |
| UA | $18.9 \%$ | $8.7 \%$ | $6.9 \%$ |
| ABOR | $0.0 \%$ | $9.1 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |

* Professional includes Academic and Service Professionals. University staff carry a secondary designation of "administrative," "professional" or "other."


ASU CLASSIFIED STAFF SEPARATIONS AND TURNOVER RATE


ASU PROFESSIONAL/UNIVERSITY STAFF SEPARATIONS AND TURNOVER RATE


ASU ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF SEPARATIONS AND TURNOVER RATE


NAU CLASSIFIED STAFF SEPARATIONS AND TURNOVER RATE


NAU PROFESSIONAL/UNIVERSITY STAFF SEPARATIONS AND TURNOVER RATE


NAU ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF SEPARATIONS AND TURNOVER RATE


UARIZONA CLASSIFIED STAFF SEPARATIONS AND TURNOVER RATE


UARIZONA PROFESSIONAL/UNIVERSITY STAFF SEPARATIONS AND TURNOVER RATE


UARIZONA ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF SEPARATIONS AND TURNOVER RATE



ABOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF SEPARATIONS AND TURNOVER RATE


## MARKET COMPARISONS

To assess the competitiveness of Arizona university system salaries, the universities and system office conduct an annual comprehensive market study to determine employee pay. Data on average and median pay from a variety of salary surveys ${ }^{2}$ is the basis of this analysis.

For faculty, the universities calculate average and median salaries comparing faculty salaries in Arizona to those at peer institutions using the most recent data (fiscal year 2019) from the American Association of University Professors (AAUP). These comparisons include all ranked faculty - professors, associate professors and assistant professors.

For staff, the universities and system office use market survey data. For those jobs where direct comparison data were available, the calculation is the difference between average market salaries and university/ABOR staff average salaries. For titles with no direct comparison data, similar employee categories are used.

## FACULTY SALARY INCREASES NEEDED TO REACH MARKET

- Nearly all of the universities' peer institutions pay higher average salaries then Arizona's three universities, demonstrating the challenges Arizona faces.
- All three universities' average faculty salaries rank at or near the bottom of their peers. Both ASU and NAU ranked 14th among its 15 peers in average faculty salaries, and UArizona had the lowest average salaries compared to peer institutions.

ARIZONA UNIVERSITY SYSTEM MAIN CAMPUSES AVERAGE FACULTY SALARY INCREASE NEEDED TO REACH MEDIAN OF PEERS


[^1]| \$130,000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | \$118,300 |  | \$121,100 |
| \$120,000 | \$114,800 | \$114,700 |  | \$117,500 |  |
| \$110,000 |  |  | \$110,900 | \$113,300 | \$116,000 |
| \$100,000 | \$107,800 | \$108,400 |  |  |  |
| \$90,000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$80,000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$70,000 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fall 2015 | Fall 2016 | Fall 2017 | Fall 2018 | Fall 2019 |
| ASU Weighted Average |  |  | Peer Median (50th Percentile) |  |  |
| NAU AVERAGE FACULTY (ALL RANKS) SALARY COMPARED TO PEERS |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$130,000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$120,000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$110,000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$100,000 | \$90,700 | \$92,000 | \$92,600 | \$93,200 | \$96,200 |
| \$90,000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$80,000 | \$83,500 | \$81,500 | \$83,300 | \$81,700 | \$83,000 |
| \$70,000 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fall 2015 | Fall 2016 | Fall 2017 | Fall 2018 | Fall 2019 |
|  |  | Weighted A | -_Pe | ian (50th Pe |  |

UARIZONA AVERAGE FACULTY (ALL RANKS) SALARY COMPARED TO PEERS


## STAFF AVERAGE SALARIES

| \$300,000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$250,000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$200,000 | \$191,349 | \$199,796 | \$207,645 | \$217,949 | \$238,598 |
| \$150,000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$100,000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$50,000 | \$56,102 | \$57,775 | \$58,990 | \$64,917 | \$59,893 |
| \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | FY16 | FY17 | FY18 | FY19 | FY20 |

NAU STAFF AVERAGE SALARIES

| \$160,000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$140,000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$120,000 | \$125,506 | \$126,721 | \$126,863 | \$129,988 | \$133,384 |
| \$100,000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$80,000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$60,000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$40,000 | \$49,987 | \$50,216 | \$52,057 | \$52,407 | \$54,083 |
| \$20,000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | FY16 | FY17 | FY18 | FY19 | FY20 |

URIZONA STAFF AVERAGE SALARIES


## ABOR STAFF AVERAGE SALARIES

300,000

250,000


200,000

150,000

100,000

|  | \$72,603 | $\$ 71,461$ | $\$ 75,790$ | $\$ 75,790$ | $\$ 82,556$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | FY16 | FY17 |  |  |  |
|  |  | FY18 | FY19 | FY20 |  |

$\qquad$ Classified/Appointed
Administrative

## STAFF INCREASES NEEDED TO REACH MARKET



NAU STAFF SALARY INCREASE TO REACH MARKET


UARIZONA STAFF SALARY INCREASE TO REACH MARKET
35.0\%


$$
\text { — UA - Classified } \quad \text { UA - Other Staff }
$$

## ABOR STAFF SALARY INCREASE TO REACH MARKET

30.0\%

| 25.0\% | 19.8\% |  | 21.5\% | 14.1\% | 15.6\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20.0\% | 15.9\% |  |  |  |  |
| 15.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5.0\% | 0.5\% | 1.6\% | 1.6\% | 2.3\% | 2.3\% |
| 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | FY18 | FY19 | FY20 | FY21 | FY22 est |

## RESOURCES NEEDED TO MEET MARKET LEVELS

Unmet salary need is the amount needed to raise average faculty salaries to the median of their peers and to raise other staff salaries to the average in other relevant labor markets. For fiscal year 2022, the projected unmet salary need for the Arizona university system is $\$ 236.4$ million, a slight decrease from the fiscal year 2021 estimate.

Employees' salaries are expected to remain lower than those at peer institutions and in other relevant markets through 2022. The cost to raise the average salaries of current faculty and staff to the targeted levels will further increase as the market continues to move. Prior to the COVID-19 pandemic, U.S. salaries were projected to rise by an average of 3.3 percent in 2020, (varying slightly from 3.2 percent in 2019 and 3.1 percent in $2018^{3}$ ), thus affecting Arizona's public universities ability to catch up and keep up with salary needs. However, as a result of the pandemic, the labor market will likely see significant changes with little to no wage growth expected.

FY 2022 ESTIMATE (IN THOUSANDS)

| ASU | $\$ 93,016.5$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| NAU | $\$ 46,305.7$ |
| UARIZONA | $\$ 96,529.5$ |
| ABOR | $\$ 556.2$ |
| TOTAL | $\$ 236,407.9$ |

PROJECTED UNMET SALARY NEED FOR THE ARIZONA UNIVERSITY SYSTEM


[^2]
## OTHER SALARY ISSUES

Employees enrolled in the Arizona State Retirement System have seen their employee contribution rates increase six-fold over the years, from 2 percent in fiscal year 2003 to 12.7 percent anticipated in fiscal year 2022. According to ASRS, contribution rates are expected to increase gradually for a few more years, before gradually beginning to fall. These rate increases amount to real reductions to an employee's net pay.

Although inflation has remained relatively low, averaging just above 2 percent the past few years, the effects of inflation erode the real value of an employee's salary. Each time prices increase, an employee's wage loses some of its value. This coupled with increases in the ASRS contribution rate, further reduces from the competitiveness of salaries offered to employees at Arizona's public universities.

## COMPENSATORY TIME AND OVERTIME

The majority of overtime paid is for positions associated with facilities management and campus police. Special events, inclement weather and the response to the COVID-19 pandemic also contributed to overtime worked by employees, as well as the effects of a reduced work force. Total overtime paid from all sources increased slightly from \$5 million in fiscal year 2018 to $\$ 5.7$ million in fiscal year 2019.

ASPS - EMPLOYEE CONTRIBUTION RATES ${ }^{1}$ - FY 2003 - FY 2022
14.00\%
12.70\%
12.00\%
12.43\%
10.00\%
8.00\%
6.00\%
4.00\%
2.00\%
2.00\%
0.00\%


[^3]
## FY 2020 COMP TIME AND OVERTIME COSTS (IN THOUSANDS)

|  | STATE APPROPRIATED |  | OTHERSOURCES | TOTAL |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | COMP | OT | TOTAL | COMP \& OT |  |
| ASU | $\$ 281.7$ | $\$ 610.2$ | $\$ 891.9$ | $\$ 1,272.1$ | $\$ 2,164.0$ |
| NAU | $\$ 4.3$ | $\$ 58.9$ | $\$ 63.2$ | $\$ 392.8$ | $\$ 456.0$ |
| UA | $\$ 106.5$ | $\$ 702.8$ | $\$ 809.3$ | $\$ 1,801.1$ | $\$ 2,610.4$ |
| ABOR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ SHEEEO, SHEF: State Higher Education Finance, 2019 Report. https://sheeo.org/project/state-higher-education-finance

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ American Association of University Professors (AAUP), Association of American Medical College (AAMC), Association of American Universities Data Exchange (AAUDE), Association of Research Libraries (ARL), College and University Professional Association of Human Resources (CUPA-HR), State Higher Education Executive Officers (SHEEO) Staffing and Salary Survey, Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS), other local and job-specific survey data.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ Society for Human Resource Management (SHRM) 2019/2020 US Compensation Planning Survey

[^3]:    $\mathbf{1}^{\text {Excludes Long Term Disability (LTD) rates }}$

