



ARIZONA BOARD OF REGENTS

RFP 2026001  
REQUEST FOR AN EXPERT PROFILING SYSTEM  
Amendment 1  
March 23, 2026

The following amendments have been made to the original RFP:

**The Arizona Board of Regents received the following questions for RFP 2026001 for an Expert Profiling System.**

- 1. Could you please clarify the expected scope of the product demonstration (e.g. demonstrations withing the existing environment vs. proposed integrations)? Additionally, will the demonstration take place on April 15, or will further scheduling details be provided?**

Please refer to Section C subsection 15 of the RFP. Demonstrations will only be held if they are determined to be necessary by the evaluation team. In the event they are determined to be necessary, the April 15, 2026, date in the projected timeline is not a definitive date. Actual dates will be provided if necessary.

- 2. Could you please confirm whether vendors may submit multiple pricing options, or if a single firm price is required for the proposal?**

Vendors are free to submit multiple pricing options.

- 3. May we request a two week extension to allow sufficient time to provide a comprehensive review and response?**

ABOR will not be extending the due date. The original due date of 2:00 P.M. MST, Tuesday, April 7, 2026, remains.

- 4. Will you consider an emailed response in lieu of the hard copy delivery which would reduce the number of days available to review and prepare our response?**

No. Please refer to Section C of the RFP including subsections C-4 and C-6 regarding submission requirements.

- 5. Are you seeking a single solution that would be entirely owned and operated by ABOR? Or more a more distributed solution where the individual Universities are involved in the day-to-day management of the solution, in which ABOR takes more of a top-down, big picture role?**

ABOR is seeking more of a distributed solution where individual Universities are involved in the day-to-day management of the solution and in which ABOR takes more of a top-down role.

- 6. Can you clarify how many ABOR office staff versus how many individual university staff you anticipate being involved during solution implementation?**

ABOR is not able to provide specific numbers. ABOR is seeking a solution that would require both it and the universities to commit minimal staff to implementation.

- 7. Can you clarify how many ABOR office staff versus how many individual university staff will be maintaining the solution day-to-day after implementation?**

ABOR is not able to provide specific numbers. ABOR is seeking a solution that would require both it and the universities to commit minimal staff to day-to-day maintenance.

- 8. Can you clarify the expected responsibility and ownership of operating the solution, managing incoming data, onboarding new faculty? Will this be driven mainly by ABOR? Or will individual university campuses have responsibility and ownership of the solution as it pertains to their institution?**

The universities will have the responsibility for the maintenance of the solution as it pertains to each institution, with guidelines and objectives set by ABOR.

- 9. Can you provide more detail about the desired functionality for “conceptual search”?**

Please refer to Section B subsection 11, Section E subsection 4.11, and Section G subsection 2.B. ABOR is looking for search capabilities that provide results beyond just specific keyword matches and that also find results that are similar to or related to the specific keywords.

- 10. What on-campus data is expected to be ingested into the solution, either as part of a one-off migration, or as part of ongoing data updates?**

Initially ABOR expects the solution to access an established corpus of academic peer reviewed literature. In the longer term ABOR aspires to integrate with on-campus faculty activity reporting systems of the universities to incorporate additional types of scholarly works into expert profiles.